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[1] Using a regional GPS data set including ~190 stations in Asia, from Nepal to eastern Indonesia and spanning 11 years, we update the present-day relative motion between the Indian and Sundaland plates and discuss the deformation taking place between them in Myanmar. Revisiting measurements acquired on the Main Boundary Thrust in Nepal, it appears that points in southern Nepal exhibit negligible deformation with respect to mainland India. Including these points, using a longer time span than previous studies, and making an accurate geodetic mapping in the newest reference frame allows us to refine the present-day Indian motion. Our results confirm that the current motion of India is slower than predicted by the NUVEL-1A model, and in addition our India-Eurasia motion is significantly (~5 mm/yr) slower than previous geodetic determinations. This new Indian motion, combined with a refined determination of the Sundaland plate, gives way to a relative India-Sunda angular velocity of 20.2°N, 26.1°E, 0.370°/Myr in ITRF2000, predicting a relative motion of 35 mm/yr oriented N10° at the latitude of Myanmar. There, the Sagaing Fault accommodates only 18 mm/yr of right-lateral strike slip, only half of the shear component of motion. We present two models addressing how and where the remaining deformation may occur. A first model of distributed deformation implies convergence on the Arakan subduction (the northern continuation of the now famous Sumatra-Andaman Trench) and wrench faulting in the Arakan wedge. The second model uses localized deformation, where deformation observed west of the Sagaing Fault is entirely due to elastic loading on a faster and oblique Arakan subduction (23 mm/yr). This latter model predicts that a major earthquake of $M_w$ 8.5 may occur every century on this segment of the subduction.


1. Introduction

[2] The India and Sundaland plates abut in Myanmar. Because of sparse geodetic networks in this region of the world, the present-day relative motion between those two plates has remained poorly known. Hence the amount of right-lateral shear and the associated style of active deformation in Myanmar have not been quantified so far. The 26 December 2004 Banda Aceh earthquake and the attendant damages highlighted the urgent need to study active deformation and seismic hazard along adjacent segments of the subduction. The megaeathquake ruptured a 1200-km-long portion of the trench, starting from the northern edge of the Sumatra Island, and ending offshore from the Andaman Islands, only a few hundred kilometers south of Myanmar shores (Figure 1) [e.g., de Groot-Hedlin, 2005; Ishii et al., 2005; Lay et al., 2005; Lomax, 2005; Vigny et al., 2005]. This earthquake likely increased the stress on adjacent segments of the subduction, raising the seismic hazard at both ends of the rupture. The March 2005 $M_w$ 8.7 Nias earthquake, which occurred just south of the previous rupture, is a case example of the triggered seismicity we can now fear farther north on the Arakan Trench, along the Myanmar–Bangladesh border.

[3] The aim of this paper is to study how the relative India–Sunda motion is accommodated in Myanmar, and in particular the style and rate of deformation along the two main active structures, the Arakan Trench and the Sagaing Fault. We first present our new regional GPS processing and determine the current motion of the Indian Plate with respect to the Sunda Plate. We then focus on the active deformation in Myanmar and discuss the possible distribution of slip along the main faults. Although different models fit our data equally well, we show that a simple locked-
trench model is plausible and discuss the implications in terms of yearly accumulation of deformation in Myanmar and seismic hazard.

2. Geodynamic Setting

[4] Southern Asia is composed of three major plates: the Indian Plate, the Australian Plate, and the Sunda Plate (Figure 1). The Indian Plate extends on the Indian continent and the western part of the Indian Ocean. The Australian Plate extends on the eastern part of the Indian Ocean and the Australian Craton. The Sunda Plate involves the Indochinese and Malaysian peninsulas, the Sunda Shelf, Borneo, Sumatra and Java Islands. Western Indonesia was early recognized as a coherent tectonic entity [Hall, 2002; Hamilton, 1972]. Geodetic measurements confirmed this point and showed that the Sunda Plate is currently moving eastward with respect to the Eurasian Plate [Bock et al., 2003; Chamot-Rooke and Le Pichon, 1999; Michel et al., 2001; Simons et al., 1999].

[5] The triple junction between the Indian, Australian, and Sunda plates is located at the intersection of the Andaman Trench, the Sumatra Trench and the 90°E Ridge (Figure 1), where the 26 December 2004 earthquake occurred. The diffuse boundary between the Indian and Australian plates can be approximated by the 90°E Ridge.

Figure 1. SE Asia GPS velocity vectors with respect to Sunda Plate. Error ellipses show the 99% confidence level. Black arrows belong to Indian Plate, dark grey arrows belong to south China block, and light grey arrows belong to Sunda. Different symbols indicate data source. The major SE Asian faults and plates are also represented on the GTOPO-30 shaded topography. Abbreviations are as follows: EHS, Eastern Himalayan Syntaxis; GSF, Great Sumatran Fault; MFT, Main Frontal Thrust; MCB, Myanmar Central Basins; SF, Sagaing Fault; RRF, Red River Fault; STD, southern Tibet Detachment; XSH, Xianshuihe Fault.
multiple diffuse plate boundaries [DeMets et al., 2005; Gordon et al., 1990; Royer and Gordon, 1997]. The boundary between the Indian and Australian plates is a wide region affected by NW-SE compressive deformation of the oceanic lithosphere [Chamot-Rooke et al., 1993] and by active left-lateral strike slip along north trending paleo-transform faults [Abercrombie et al., 2003; Deplus, 2001; Deplus et al., 1998]. To the north, the limit between the Indian Plate and the actively deforming Asian continent is the Main Frontal Thrust (Figures 1 and 2) [Cattin and Avouac, 2000; Lave and Avouac, 2000]. To the east, the Himalayan thrusts connect, in the inner part of the Eastern Himalayan Syntaxis, to the north trending Myanmar structures [e.g., Burg et al., 1998; Holt et al., 1991; Mitchell, 1993; Ratschbacher et al., 1996]. At the latitude of Myanmar, the Indian Plate slides northward past the Sunda Plate. There, the India–Sunda motion is considered as being partitioned between the 1200-km-long right-lateral strike-slip Sagaing Fault and the Andaman-Arakan trenches farther west (Figures 1 and 2) [Le Dain et al., 1984; Michel et al., 2001; Peltzer and Saucier, 1996; Tapponnier and Molnar, 1975; Tapponnier et al., 1982; Curray, 1989; Mitchell, 1993]. South of Myanmar, the Andaman Trench becomes the Sumatra Trench. East of this trench system, the Andaman Basin opens in a pull-apart setting between the Sagaing Fault to the north and the West Andaman and Great Sumatran Fault to the south. The Sumatra Trench runs parallel to the Great Sumatran Fault (Figure 1). Slip partitioning on these two latter Sumatran structures accommodates the oblique Australian–Sunda Plate motion [e.g.,

Figure 2. GPS velocities in India, Nepal, and western Myanmar with respect to the Indian Plate. Error ellipses show the 95% confidence level. Black arrows belong to Indian Plate. The main tectonic structures are also represented on the GTOPO-30 shaded topography. MCB, Myanmar Central Basins. Inset shows vector components of the residual velocities used for the India–ITRF-2000 pole determination. Units are in mm/yr. Indian stations display residual velocities below 2 mm/yr (circle).
Table 1. India–South Nepal Stations Baselines Variations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Length Variation, mm/yr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IISC–HYDE</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IISC–MAHE</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IISC–Bhai</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IISC–NEPA</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IISC–SIMR</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HYDE–MAHE</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HYDE–Bhai</td>
<td>–1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HYDE–NEPA</td>
<td>–0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HYDE–SIMR</td>
<td>–1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Curry, 1989; Fitch, 1972; Genrich et al., 2000; McCaffrey, 1991; McCaffrey et al., 2000; Prawirodirdjo et al., 1997.

In Myanmar, east of the Arakan Trench, the Arakan accretionary wedge is affected by shallow seismicity [Dasgupta et al., 2003; Rao and Kumar, 1999; Satyabala, 2003] along large N/S strike-slip faults [Bender, 1983; Guzman-Speziale and Ni, 1996; Socquet et al., 2002]. The Myanmar Central Basins bound this mountain belt to the east [Bender, 1983; Pivnik et al., 1998; Rangin et al., 1999]. The major tectonic break between the Arakan range and the basins is the Kabaw Fault (or Eastern Boundary Thrust). About 200 km to the east, the north trending Sagaing Fault cuts through the central basins and follows a zone of topographic gradient, the Shan Scarp, along which the Mogok metamorphic belt outcrops [Bertrand et al., 2001, 1999]. The Sagaing Fault presently ends in a compressional horsetail toward the north in the Himalayan Syntaxis area, and an extensional horsetail toward the south in the Andaman pull-apart (Figure 1) [Curry, 2005; Guzman-Speziale and Ni, 1993; Le Dain et al., 1984].

3. Data Processing

The velocity solution presented here is a reprocessing of a mix of campaign and continuous GPS data covering south and southeast Asia. The solution includes ~190 stations spanning 11 years of data from 1991 to 2002. We processed new data: the permanent Malaysian network acquisitions from 1999 to 2001, the Asia Pacific Regional Geodetic Project campaigns from 1997 to 2000 [Govind et al., 1999], the first-order Thai network measurements in 1994–1996–2000 and data from Hyderabad GPS station in India from 1996 to 2000, as well as already published data: GEODYSSSEA data [Chamot-Rooke and Le Pichon, 1999; Michel et al., 2001; Simons et al., 1999], GPS campaigns in Sulawesi from 1999 to 2002 [Simons et al., 2000; Vigny et al., 2002; Walpersdorf et al., 1998a, 1998b], Malaysian campaigns in 1998 and 2000 [Vigny et al., 2003], west Nepal network measurements in 1991–1995–1997–1998–2000 [Bilham et al., 1997; Jouanne et al., 1999; Larson et al., 1999], the Kathmandu transect campaigns from 1998 to 2000 [Avouac et al., 2001; Jouanne et al., 2004] and data from 30 IGS stations world wide spread (Figure 1). The raw GPS measurements were analyzed in 24-hour daily solutions with GAMIT [King and Bock, 1999]. Velocities were estimated and mapped in ITRF-2000 [Altamimi et al., 2002] using GLOBK/GLORG software [Herring, 1999]. A slightly different data set (80% common stations in SE Asia) was also processed independently with GIPSY OASIS II software [Blewitt et al., 1988] and published separately (W. J. F. Simons et al., A decade of GPS measurements in SE Asia: (Re)defining Sundaland and its boundaries, submitted to Journal of Geophysical Research, 2006). We combined these data with ours, again using GLOBK. The GAMIT processing, the combinations, the reference frame mapping and the error analysis are detailed in the auxiliary material1. Figure 1 depicts the velocity field we obtain with respect to the Sunda Plate.

4. India–Sunda Motion

4.1. India Deformation and Motion

[8] From a geodetic point of view, the Indian Plate remains undeformed up to the foothills of the Himalaya. Actually, and contrary to what one would expect from simple elastic coupling theories, stations in southern Nepal hardly show any motion with respect to India. Baseline lengths between points located in southern Nepal (MAHE, NEPA, Bhai, and SIMR) and points located farther south in continental India (HYDE and IISC) vary by less than 2 mm/yr (Table 1 and Figure 2). Since the residuals do not show any systematic trend, we conclude that these small numbers are representative of the data uncertainties rather than the actual rate of deformation. Larson et al. [1999], Paul et al. [2001], and Jouanne et al. [2004] found similar results since their south Nepalese points move at less than 2 mm/yr with respect to IISC. We thus consider in the following that velocities of south Nepal stations are indeed representative of the motion of the Indian Plate. A new geodetic rotation pole for the Indian Plate in ITRF-2000 was thus determined using two Indian stations (IISC and HYDE) and four southern Nepalese stations (MAHE, NEPA, Bhai, SIMR) located south of the Main Frontal Thrust. The Maldives station was not used because we processed only two sets of measurements separated by a year interval only, explaining its poor velocity determination. In any case, Maldives Island is located in the diffuse zone of deformation between India and Australia. In ITRF-2000, our pole determination for the Indian Plate is 50.9°N, –12.1°E, 0.486 ± 0.01°/Myr (Table 2). The computed error ellipse was constructed from variance-covariance matrix describing uncertainties, linearly propagated from the errors assigned to the data, following the method described by DelMets et al. [1994]. Its semimajor axis is oriented N108° and is 5.1° long and its semiminor axis is 0.61° long. The shape of the ellipse (ratio between long and short axes and ellipse orientation) is mainly constrained by the geographical distribution of the stations. Here, the longitudinal uncertainty is larger because there is a trade-off between the angular speed and the distance pole/plate. Residual velocities for the stations used for the pole determination are below 2 mm/yr with an RMS of 1.29 mm/yr (Figure 2).

[9] In order to compare our pole with previous studies, we rotate our India–ITRF-2000 pole with respect to the Eurasian Plate defined by Calais et al. [2003]. With respect to Eurasia, the Indian Plate rotates anticlockwise about a pole located at 27.5°N, 12.9°E at a rate of 0.398°/Myr. Our pole determination predicts a velocity at Bangalore (IISC) of 39 mm/yr oriented N25°. This is 20% slower than the

Table 2. Plate Pairs Rotation Parameters Used in This Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plate Pair</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Rotation Parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>India–ITRF2000</td>
<td>this study</td>
<td>50.9 N, -12.1 E, 0.486 °/Myr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India–Eurasia</td>
<td>this study</td>
<td>27.5 N, 12.9 E, 0.398 °/Myr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India–Eurasia</td>
<td>NUVEL-1A</td>
<td>24.4 N, 17.7 E, 0.51 °/Myr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India–Eurasia</td>
<td>Paul et al. [2001]</td>
<td>25.6 N, 11.1 E, 0.44 °/Myr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India–Sunda</td>
<td>this study</td>
<td>20.2 N, 26.1 E, 0.370 °/Myr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

48 mm/yr oriented N21° predicted by NNR-NUVEL-1A model [DeMets et al., 1990, 1994]. It is also ~5 mm/yr slower than the 44 mm/yr oriented N22° at IJIS given by the latest previous geodetic study [Paul et al., 2001]. This difference is probably due to the use of a different reference frame for the two GPS solutions (ITRF-2000 versus ITRF-96). Also, the technique used by Paul et al. [2001] to map their velocities in ITRF-96 (by applying tight constraints to the coordinates of IISC, KIT3, and POL2) may have forced IISC velocity. Indeed IISC velocity is slower by 2 mm/yr northward and faster by 2 mm/yr eastward in ITRF-2000 than in ITRF-96. It is quite noticeable that as the determination of the ITRF is refined, the geodetic estimate of the India–Eurasia motion decreases and becomes more oblique.

4.2. Sunda–India Relative Pole Determination

To determine the rotation pole between Indian and Sunda plates, we rotate our velocities with respect to the previously defined Indian Plate and minimize, in the least squares sense, the velocities of the stations located on the Sunda Plate. The stations located close to the block boundaries, potentially affected by significant elastic deformation or located in seismically active regions were not selected for the pole determination (eastern margin of Borneo, Java Island, south Sumatran shore). Stations displaying a residual velocity higher than 10 mm/yr have been removed too. These inconsistent velocities are mainly the APRGP stations, most of which have been measured only twice or located in seismically active regions were not selected for the pole determination (eastern margin of Borneo, Java Island, south Sumatran shore). Stations displaying a residual velocity higher than 10 mm/yr have been removed too. These inconsistent velocities are mainly the APRGP stations, most of which have been measured only twice or located in seismically active regions were not selected for the pole determination (eastern margin of Borneo, Java Island, south Sumatran shore). Stations displaying a residual velocity higher than 10 mm/yr have been removed too. These inconsistent velocities are mainly the APRGP stations, most of which have been measured only twice or located in seismically active regions were not selected for the pole determination (eastern margin of Borneo, Java Island, south Sumatran shore). Stations displaying a residual velocity higher than 10 mm/yr have been removed too. These inconsistent velocities are mainly the APRGP stations. The motion of YWEN is possibly affected by elastic strain loading due to coupling on the Sagaing Fault. The westward component of motion is however best explained by intracontinental deformation at the northern edge of the Indochinese peninsula, due to clockwise rotations evidenced around the Eastern Himalayan Syntaxis [Holt et al., 1991; Ratschbacher et al., 1996; Wang et al., 1998]. This rotational pattern generates shear on the northern edge of the Indochinese peninsula (in Yunnan, China) that is accommodated along E-W left-lateral strike-slip faults [Lacassin et al., 1998; Socquet and Pubellier, 2005; Wang and Burchfiel, 1997; Wang et al., 1998]. East of YWEN, the shallow seismicity of strike-slip type attests that this intracontinental strain probably extends to the Shan Plateau (Figure 4). West

5. Deformation at the Plate Boundary

5.1. GPS Velocity Field in Myanmar

Myanmar GPS velocities are shown in Figure 4 in the new Sunda reference frame, together with the active fault traces and the available earthquake focal mechanisms. At the latitude ~22°N and east of the Sagaing Fault, YWEN station moves at 6 mm/yr with respect to the Sunda Plate. The motion of YWEN is possibly affected by elastic strain loading due to coupling on the Sagaing Fault. The westward component of motion is however best explained by intracontinental deformation at the northern edge of the Indochinese peninsula, due to clockwise rotations evidenced around the Eastern Himalayan Syntaxis [Holt et al., 1991; Ratschbacher et al., 1996; Wang et al., 1998]. This rotational pattern generates shear on the northern edge of the Indochinese peninsula (in Yunnan, China) that is accommodated along E-W left-lateral strike-slip faults [Lacassin et al., 1998; Socquet and Pubellier, 2005; Wang and Burchfiel, 1997; Wang et al., 1998]. East of YWEN, the shallow seismicity of strike-slip type attests that this intracontinental strain probably extends to the Shan Plateau (Figure 4). West
of the plateau, the relative motion between KWEH and YWEN indicates that the Sagaing Fault accommodates about 18 mm/yr of right-lateral strike slip. This slip amount agrees with the previous geodetic solution [Vigny et al., 2003] and the neotectonic studies [Bertrand et al., 1998]. In the Arakan Range (western Myanmar), MIND station moves 24 mm/yr north with respect to Sunda (Figure 4). With respect to India, this station moves 14 mm/yr toward the southwest (Figure 2). We summarize in Figure 5 the various GPS constraints in the form of synthetic velocity diagrams. In the velocity vector diagram constructed at this latitude (Figure 5, top), the three heads of the vectors describing the motion of the Indian Plate, KWEH and MIND sites with respect to the Sunda Plate are aligned. This implies that the motion to be accommodated between the Indian Plate and the Myanmar central basins (KWEH point) has a constant azimuth.

[12] LAUN station is located in southwestern Myanmar south of the Arakan range (17°N), close to the trace of the Arakan Trench (Figure 4). In this area, offshore data revealed that the trench has a dog-leg shape with strike-slip segments oriented N30°, and thrusts segments oriented N120° [Nielsen et al., 2004]. In our solution, LAUN moves 8 mm/yr to the azimuth N203° in the Indian reference frame (Figure 2) and up to 30 mm/yr with respect to Sunda (Figure 4). Assuming that the estimated slip rate on the central Sagaing Fault can be extrapolated to its southern end, i.e., 18 mm/yr oriented N-S, and further considering that HPAA station belongs to the Sunda Plate (no residual velocity with respect to Sunda), then 20 mm/yr oriented ~N30° must be accommodated west of the Sagaing Fault (Figure 5, bottom). At this latitude, no major fault exists between the trench and the Sagaing Fault, so that this motion is likely to be accommodated obliquely along the trench itself as suggested by marine surveys [Nielsen et al., 2004].

[13] With respect to Sunda, India moves around 35 mm/yr NNE. The Sagaing Fault only accounts for 18 mm/yr N. The remaining motion must be taken elsewhere. The question we raise is whether the remaining motion is localized entirely at the trench, as suggested at least for the southernmost segment, or distributed within the Arakan belt. We thus examine quantitatively two models: one where the deformation is distributed onto several faults and one where the motion is localized on two major faults only (the Arakan Trench and the Sagaing Fault, hereafter the two-fault model) with accumulation of interseismic elastic deformation at their locked interfaces.

5.2. Distribution of the Deformation in Myanmar

[14] Seismicity and faults mapping in Myanmar support the possibility of distributed deformation. Immediately above the subducted Arakan slab, and possibly within it, both strike-slip and reverse focal mechanisms are observed [Dasgupta et al., 2003; Rao and Kumar, 1999; Satyabala, 2003]. At the surface, the Arakan range is an active fold-and-thrust belt, also affected by large N-S strike-slip faults. Shallow seismicity occurs both as strike-slip and thrust events [Guzman-Speziale and Ni, 1996]. Hence both the Arakan Trench and the structures within the Arakan wedge might account for strike-slip and thrust deformation necessary to accommodate the 14 mm/yr that occurs between the Indian Plate and MIND station (Figures 4 and 5, top). In this hypothesis, the remaining 9 mm/yr oriented N36° must occur between the Myanmar Central Basin (KWEH) and the Arakan range (MIND) (Figures 4 and 5, top). Directions of the tectonic structures there are mainly N/S [Pivnik et al., 1998; Rangin et al., 1999]. The major fault between the Arakan range and the basins is the Kabaw Fault (also called Eastern Boundary Thrust). Hla Maung [1987] reported transcurrent motion on this fault before the Miocene. If this structure is still active, it would be a good candidate to accommodate part of the right-lateral wrench faulting [Nielsen et al., 2004]. As in the Arakan range, folding is observed within the Neogene sediments of the...
5.3. Elastic Modeling

The alternative is to test whether our geodetic solution is compatible with a simple locked-trench and locked-Sagaing Fault model. The rationale is that the observed deformation at our Myanmar GPS stations may be purely transient and related to the combination of interseismic elastic coupling on the Sagaing Fault and the Arakan Trench. In this case, the Sagaing Fault would be affected by pure strike-slip deformation along its entire length while oblique convergence would be accommodated on the Arakan subduction from southern Myanmar up to the Shillong Plateau. Although the distribution of GPS sites may be insufficient and constraints on the detailed geometry of the locked subduction interface are obviously lacking, the assumptions are simple enough to perform a first-order test. We constructed a model using DEFNODE software [McCaffrey, 1995, 2002, 2005] considering rigid blocks separated by faults modeled as locked dislocations in an elastic half-space [Okada, 1985]. The two-fault model is schematized in Figure 6. The surface traces of the faults follow the mapping of the Arakan Trench and the Sagaing Fault [Nielsen et al., 2004; Vigny et al., 2003]. The Sagaing Fault is a vertical strike-slip fault locked at 15 km depth [Vigny et al., 2003]. We consider a dip of 15° at shallow depths (<50 km) for the Arakan Trench, constrained by seismicity cross sections [Dasgupta et al., 2003; Satyabala, 2003]. The model is composed of four independent blocks: the Indian and Sunda plates, the Myanmar sliver microplate and the Yunnan block. This last block is a feature used to “mimic” the intracontinental deformation around the Himalayan syntaxis which results in the 6 mm/yr westward motion of YWEN in this area. Obviously, this kind of intracontinental distributed strain is difficult to model properly with elastically deforming blocks, but it still needs to be taken into account. This is done by allowing a small westward motion of a block located on the Shan Plateau, and called here the Yunnan Block. While fixing the India–Sunda total motion, we invert the GPS data for the rotation pole of the Myanmar sliver, allowing us to estimate possible rates of slip on the Sagaing Fault and the Arakan Trench. Coherently with Vigny et al. [2003] our best fit model finds that the Sagaing Fault is locked and slips at 18 mm/yr (Figure 6, right). Moreover it shows that the velocities at MIND and LAUN (west of the Sagaing Fault) are well explained by elastic loading on the Arakan Trench. The trench would then be locked at 50 km and would accommodate an oblique slip varying from 20 mm/yr oriented N30° in southern Myanmar (around 17° of latitude) to 23 mm/yr oriented ~N35° in central Myanmar (around 22° of latitude). MIND station is located ~200 km away from the trench. There, the calculated elastic deformation reaches 5–6 mm/yr for the perpendicular component and 7 mm/yr for the strike-slip component. Thus elastic loading on the Arakan subduction interface could be responsible for the motion observed at MIND station (Figure 5 (top), MIND to KWEH). Likewise, the motion observed at LAUN station, located at ~50 km from the trench, can be modeled as the addition of the rigid rotation of the Myanmar sliver and 12 mm/yr oriented N30° of elastic strain due to the loading on the trench (Figure 5 (bottom), LAUN to sliver).

[16] This second hypothesis implies that deformation in Myanmar is partitioned on two main structures: the Sagaing Fault and the Arakan Trench. Such a partitioning mechanism was described previously for the western Sunda Arc where convergence occurs on the Sunda Trench and strike slip on the Great Sumatran Fault [Curry, 1989; Fitch, 1972]. Here, the motion would be partially partitioned with 18 mm/yr of pure right-lateral strike slip on the Sagaing Fault and still oblique convergence on the Arakan Trench accommodating about 23 mm/yr oriented N 35°.
5.4. Discussion

While earlier seismologic studies suggested cessation of subduction in Myanmar [Rao and Kumar, 1999], our new data confirm the more recent interpretations of still active subduction [Dasgupta et al., 2003; Satyabala, 2003; Nielsen et al., 2004]. However, the localized versus distributed end-members models remain difficult to discriminate, since structural and geophysical arguments may support each hypothesis. An argument against the distribution hypothesis is that no large straining zone was recognized west of the main Sagaing Fault. Hla Maung [1987] postulates transcurrent motion along the Kabaw Fault, but no geologic nor geophysical evidence was reported to indicate such movement [Khin Zaw, 1990]. Moreover, the folds affecting the Myanmar Central Basins have not accumulated significant shortening since their formation. Pivnik et al. [1998] interpret the en echelon pattern of the fold axes and reverse faults affecting the basins as the result of internal shear within the sliver, as described by the models of deformation in fore-arc sliver terranes bounded by an oblique subduction zone on one side and a trench-parallel strike-slip fault on the other side [Jarrard, 1986]. Nielsen et al. [2004] proposed partial partitioning onto the Sagaing Fault and the Arakan Trench in southern Myanmar (around 18°N), evolving to full partitioning at higher latitudes (around 25°N); the shear motion taken on the trench would be progressively transferred to several strike-slip and thrust structures within the Arakan wedge. Shallow seismicity north of 20°N indeed supports current activity along the strike-slip faults and thrusts affecting the external part of the Arakan wedge, but seems to be restricted to the west of station MIND (Figure 4). If we acknowledge that no significant motion is accommodated between this station and the Sagaing Fault, neither on the Kabaw Fault nor...
within the Myanmar Central Basins, then the measured velocity at MIND station can only be explained by elastic deformation. This leads for a significant part of the motion being accommodated on the locked subduction plane.

[18] This localized two-fault model yields a high seismic hazard on the Arakan Trench. If we consider a locked plane 400 to 600 km long and 200 km wide, which corresponds to a plane locked at 50 km depth with 15° dip, with an accumulation of 23 mm/yr and a mean crustal shear modulus of $3.3 \times 10^{10}$ Pa, it would be expected to produce a magnitude 8.5 earthquake every century or a magnitude 9 every 500 years [Kanamori, 1977; Wells and Coppersmith, 1994].

6. Conclusion

[19] Our extensive regional GPS velocity field allows us to determine both the relative plate motions and the intracontinental deformation in the region of interaction between India, Eurasia and Sunda plates. Thanks to long time series, numerous measurements in Nepal and the availability of ITRF-2000, our new rotation pole for the Indian Plate yields an India–Eurasia convergence rate significantly slower (~5 mm/yr) than estimated by previous geodetic determination [Paul et al., 2001]. Combined with our refined estimation of Sunda motion, we predict around 36 mm/yr of right-lateral N/S strike slip and 7 to 9 mm/yr of E-W convergence in Myanmar. Distribution of active deformation in Myanmar is constrained by far-field plate motion (India–Sunda motion), near-field GPS measurements (stations in Myanmar), active tectonics on land and at sea (fault styles and rates) and seismological data (focal mechanisms).

In southern Myanmar (17°N), the relative India–Sunda motion is partitioned between the right-lateral Sagraing Fault slipping at 18 mm/yr and the Andaman motion accommodating 20 mm/yr of oblique convergence oriented N30°. A similar two-fault partitioning process probably prevails in central Myanmar (22°N), the shear component west of MIND (about 23 mm/yr) being mainly accommodated as oblique convergence (oriented N35°) along the Arakan Trench. A significant portion of the Arakan Trench is thus presently elastically locked and accumulating significant motion. Moreover, this trench portion is localized in a major seismic gap: to the north two $M_w$ 8.7 earthquakes occurred in 1897 in the Shillong Plateau and in 1950 in Assam, to the south the rupture of the $M_w$ 9.2 earthquake of December 2004 extended up to the Andaman Islands but not beyond. This has important implications for seismic hazards along the Burma subduction front. The 26 December 2004 earthquake on the Sumatra Trench showed that the northern part of the subduction (Andaman Trench) was locked and had accumulated enough elastic deformation to produce a $M_w$ 8.9 earthquake by itself [Fugny et al., 2005]. Our partitioned elastic model with oblique slip on the trench is a simple continuation of this system to the north and yields high probability for one great subduction earthquake of magnitude 8.5 every century in this area.
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