

Some infinite divisibility properties of the reciprocal of planar Brownian motion exit time from a cone

Stavros Vakeroudis, Marc Yor

▶ To cite this version:

Stavros Vakeroudis, Marc Yor. Some infinite divisibility properties of the reciprocal of planar Brownian motion exit time from a cone. 2011. hal-00654697

HAL Id: hal-00654697 https://hal.science/hal-00654697

Preprint submitted on 22 Dec 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Some infinite divisibility properties of the reciprocal of planar Brownian motion exit time from a cone

S. Vakeroudis *† M. Yor *‡

December 22, 2011

Abstract

With the help of the Gauss-Laplace transform for the exit time from a cone of planar Brownian motion, we obtain some infinite divisibility properties for the reciprocal of this exit time.

Key words: Bougerol's identity, infinite divisibility, Chebyshev polynomials, Lévy measure, Thorin measure, generalized Gamma convolution (GGC).

MSC Classification (2010): 60J65, 60E07.

1 Introduction

Let $(Z_t = X_t + iY_t, t \ge 0)$ denote a standard planar Brownian motion[§], starting from $x_0 + i0, x_0 > 0$, where $(X_t, t \ge 0)$ and $(Y_t, t \ge 0)$ are two independent linear Brownian motions, starting respectively from x_0 and 0.

It is well known [ItMK65] that, since $x_0 \neq 0$, $(Z_t, t \geq 0)$ does not visit a.s. the point 0 but keeps winding around 0 infinitely often. Hence, the continuous winding process $\theta_t = \text{Im}(\int_0^t \frac{dZ_s}{Z_s}), t \geq 0$ is well defined. Using a scaling argument, we may assume $x_0 = 1$, without loss of generality, since, with obvious notation:

$$\left(Z_t^{(x_0)}, t \ge 0\right) \stackrel{(law)}{=} \left(x_0 Z_{(t/x_0^2)}^{(1)}, t \ge 0\right). \tag{1}$$

^{*}Laboratoire de Probabilités et Modèles Aléatoires (LPMA) CNRS : UMR7599, Université Pierre et Marie Curie - Paris VI, Université Paris-Diderot - Paris VII, 4 Place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France. E-mail: stavros.vakeroudis@etu.upmc.fr

[†]Probability and Statistics Group, School of Mathematics, University of Manchester, Alan Turing Building, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL, United Kingdom.

[‡]Institut Universitaire de France, Paris, France. E-mail: yormarc@aol.com

[§]When we write: Brownian motion, we always mean real-valued Brownian motion, starting from 0. For 2-dimensional Brownian motion, we indicate planar or complex BM.

From now on, we shall take $x_0 = 1$.

Furthermore, there is the skew product representation:

$$\log|Z_t| + i\theta_t \equiv \int_0^t \frac{dZ_s}{Z_s} = (\beta_u + i\gamma_u) \Big|_{u = H_t = \int_0^t \frac{ds}{|Z_s|^2}}, \tag{2}$$

where $(\beta_u + i\gamma_u, u \ge 0)$ is another planar Brownian motion starting from $\log 1 + i0 = 0$ (for further study of the Bessel clock H, see [Yor80]). We may rewrite (2) as:

$$\log |Z_t| = \beta_{H_t}; \quad \theta_t = \gamma_{H_t}. \tag{3}$$

One now easily obtains that the two σ -fields $\sigma\{|Z_t|, t \geq 0\}$ and $\sigma\{\beta_u, u \geq 0\}$ are identical, whereas $(\gamma_u, u \geq 0)$ is independent from $(|Z_t|, t \geq 0)$.

Bougerol's celebrated identity in law ([Bou83, ADY97] and [Yor01] (p. 200)), which says that:

for fixed
$$t$$
, $\sinh(\beta_t) \stackrel{(law)}{=} \delta_{A_t(\beta)}$ (4)

where $(\beta_u, u \geq 0)$ is 1-dimensional BM, $A_u(\beta) = \int_0^u ds \exp(2\beta_s)$ and $(\delta_v, v \geq 0)$ is another BM, independent of $(\beta_u, u \geq 0)$, will also be used. We define the random times $T_c^{|\theta|} \equiv \inf\{t : |\theta_t| = c\}$, and $T_c^{|\gamma|} \equiv \inf\{t : |\gamma_t| = c\}$, (c > 0). From the skew-product representation (3) of planar Brownian motion, we obtain [ReY99]:

$$A_{T_c^{|\gamma|}}(\beta) \equiv \int_0^{T_c^{|\gamma|}} ds \exp(2\beta_s) = H_u^{-1} \Big|_{u = T_c^{|\gamma|}} = T_c^{|\theta|} . \tag{5}$$

Then, Bougerol's identity (4) for the random time $T_c^{|\theta|}$ yields the following [Vak11, VaY11]:

Proposition 1.1 The distribution of $T_c^{|\theta|}$ is characterized by:

$$E\left[\sqrt{\frac{2c^2}{\pi T_c^{|\theta|}}}\exp\left(-\frac{x}{2T_c^{|\theta|}}\right)\right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+x}}\varphi_m(x),\tag{6}$$

for every $x \ge 0$, with $m = \frac{\pi}{2c}$, and

$$\varphi_m(x) = \frac{2}{(G_+(x))^m + (G_-(x))^m}, \text{ with } G_\pm(x) = \sqrt{1+x} \pm \sqrt{x}.$$
(7)

Comment and Terminology:

If S > 0 a.s. is independent from a Brownian motion $(\delta_u, u \ge 0)$, we call the density of δ_S , which is:

$$E\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi S}}\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2S}\right)\right] \tag{8}$$

the Gauss-Laplace transform of S (see e.g. [ChY03] ex.4.16, or [BPY01]). Thus, formula (6) expresses - up to simple changes -the Gauss-Laplace transform of $T_c^{|\theta|}$.

We also recall several notions which will be used throughout the following text:

- a) A stochastic process $\zeta = (\zeta_t, t \ge 0)$ is called a *Lévy process* if $\zeta_0 = 0$ a.s., it has stationary and independent increments and it is almost surely right continuous with left limits. A Lévy process which is increasing is called a *subordinator*.
- b) Following e.g. [ReY99], a probability measure π on \mathbb{R} (resp. a real-valued random variable with law π) is said to be *infinitely divisible* if, for any $n \geq 1$, there is a probability measure π_n such that $\pi = \pi_n^{*n}$ (resp. if ζ_1, \ldots, ζ_n are n i.i.d. random variables, $\zeta \stackrel{(law)}{=} \zeta_1 + \ldots + \zeta_n$). For instance, Gaussian, Poisson and Cauchy variables are infinitely divisible.

It is well-known that (e.g. [Ber96]), π is infinitely divisible if and only if, its Fourier transform $\hat{\pi}$ is equal to $\exp(\psi)$, with:

$$\psi(u) = ibu - \frac{\sigma^2 u^2}{2} + \int \left(e^{iux} - 1 - \frac{iux}{1+x^2}\right) \nu(dx),$$

where $b \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma^2 \geq 0$ and ν is a Radon measure on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ such that:

$$\int \frac{x^2}{1+x^2} \nu(dx) < \infty.$$

This expression of $\hat{\pi}$ is known as the *Lévy-Khintchine formula* and the measure ν as the *Lévy measure*.

c) Following [Bon92] (p.29) and [JRY08], a positive random variable Γ is a generalized Gamma convolution (GGC) if there exists a positive Radon measure μ on $]0, \infty[$ such that:

$$E\left[e^{-\lambda\Gamma}\right] = \exp\left(-\int_0^\infty \left(1 - e^{-\lambda x}\right) \frac{dx}{x} \int_0^\infty e^{-xz} \mu(dz)\right)$$
 (9)

$$= \exp\left(-\int_0^\infty \log\left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{z}\right)\mu(dz)\right),\tag{10}$$

with:

$$\int_{]0,1]} |\log x| \mu(dx) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{[1,\infty[} \frac{\mu(dx)}{x} < \infty. \tag{11}$$

We remark that (10) follows immediately from (9) using the elementary Frullani formula (see e.g. [Leb72], p.6). The measure μ is called *Thorin's measure* associated with Γ .

We return now to the case of planar Brownian motion and the exit times from a cone. Below, we state and prove the following:

Proposition 1.2 For every integer m, the function $x \to \varphi_m(x)$, is the Laplace transform of an infinitely divisible random variable K; more specifically, the following decompositions hold:

• for m = 2n + 1,

$$K = \frac{\mathcal{N}^2}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \mathbf{e}_k, \quad a_k = \frac{1}{\sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\frac{2k-1}{2n+1}\right)}; \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$
 (12)

• for m=2n,

$$K = \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k \mathbf{e}_k, \quad b_k = \frac{1}{\sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\frac{2k-1}{2n}\right)}; \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$
 (13)

where \mathcal{N} is a centered, reduced Gaussian variable and \mathbf{e}_k , $k \leq n$ are n independent exponential variables, with expectation 1.

Looking at formula (6), it is also natural to consider:

$$\tilde{\varphi}_m(x) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+x}} \, \varphi_m(x). \tag{14}$$

We note that:

$$\tilde{K} \equiv \frac{\mathcal{N}^2}{2} + K,\tag{15}$$

admits the RHS of (6) as its Laplace transform. Hence,

• for m = 2n + 1,

$$\tilde{K} \stackrel{(law)}{=} \mathbf{e}_0 + \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \mathbf{e}_k, \tag{16}$$

• for m=2n,

$$\tilde{K} \stackrel{(law)}{=} \frac{\mathcal{N}^2}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \mathbf{e}_k, \tag{17}$$

with obvious notation.

In Section 2 we first illustrate Proposition 1.2 for m=1 and m=2; we may also verify equation (6) by using the laws of $T_c^{|\theta|}$, for $c=\pi/2$ and $c=\pi/4$, which are well known [ReY99].

In Section 3, we prove Proposition 1.2, where the Chebyshev polynomials play an essential role, we calculate the Lévy measure in the Lévy-Khintchine representation of φ_m and we obtain the following asymptotic result:

Proposition 1.3 With c denoting a positive constant, the distribution of $T_{c\varepsilon}^{|\theta|}$, for every x > 0, follows the asymptotics:

$$\left(E\left[\sqrt{\frac{2(c\varepsilon)^2}{\pi T_{c\varepsilon}^{|\theta|}}}\exp\left(-\frac{x}{2T_{c\varepsilon}^{|\theta|}}\right)\right]\right)^{1/\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\left(\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{1+x}\right)^{\pi/2c}},\tag{18}$$

which, from [JRY08], is the Laplace transform of a subordinator $(\Gamma_t(\mathbb{G}_{1/2}), t \geq 0)$ with Thorin measure that of the arc sine law, taken at $t = \pi/2c$.

Finally, we state a conjecture concerning the case where m is not necessarily an integer.

2 Examples

$$\mathbf{2.1} \quad \boxed{m = 1 \Rightarrow c = \frac{\pi}{2}}$$

Then:

$$\tilde{\varphi}_1(x) = \frac{1}{1+x},\tag{19}$$

is the Laplace transform of an exponential variable e_1 .

Indeed, with $(Z_t = X_t + iY_t = |Z_t| \exp(i\theta_t), t \ge 0)$ a planar BM starting from (1,0), $T_{\pi/2}^{|\theta|} = \inf\{t : X_t = 0\} = \inf\{t : X_t^0 = 1\},$

with $(X_t^0, t \ge 0)$ denoting another one-dimensional BM starting from 0. Formula (6) states that:

$$E\left[\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi T_{\pi/2}^{|\theta|}}}\exp\left(-\frac{x}{2T_{\pi/2}^{|\theta|}}\right)\right] = \frac{1}{1+x}.$$
 (20)

However, we know that: $T_{\pi/2}^{|\theta|} \stackrel{(law)}{=} \frac{1}{N^2}$, $N \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. The LHS of the previous equality (20) gives:

$$E\left[\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}|N|\exp\left(-\frac{x}{2}N^2\right)\right] = \int_0^\infty dy \ y \ e^{-\frac{x+1}{2}y^2} = \frac{1}{1+x},\tag{21}$$

thus, we have verified directly that (20) holds.

$$2.2 \quad \boxed{m = 2 \Rightarrow c = \frac{\pi}{4}}$$

Similarly,

$$\tilde{\varphi}_2(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+x}} \frac{1}{1+2x},$$
(22)

is the Laplace transform of the variable $\frac{N^2}{2} + 2\mathbf{e}_1$. Again, this can be shown directly; indeed, with obvious notation:

$$\begin{split} T_{\pi/4}^{|\theta|} &= \inf\{t: X_t + Y_t = 0, \text{ or } X_t - Y_t = 0\} \\ &= \inf\{t: \frac{X_t^0 + Y_t}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \text{ or } \frac{X_t^0 - Y_t}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\} \\ &= T_{1/\sqrt{2}} \wedge \tilde{T}_{1/\sqrt{2}} \stackrel{(law)}{=} \frac{1}{2} \left(T \wedge \tilde{T}\right). \end{split}$$

Hence, formula (6) now writes, in this particular case:

$$E\left[\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{4(T\wedge\tilde{T})}}\exp\left(-\frac{x}{T\wedge\tilde{T}}\right)\right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+x}}\frac{1}{1+2x}.$$
 (23)

This is easily proven, using: $T \stackrel{(law)}{=} \frac{1}{N^2}, \tilde{T} \stackrel{(law)}{=} \frac{1}{\tilde{N}^2}$, which yields:

$$\begin{split} E\left[\left(|N|\vee|\tilde{N}|\right)\exp\left(-x\left(N^2\vee\tilde{N}^2\right)\right)\right] &= 2E\left[|N|\exp\left(-xN^2\right)\mathbf{1}_{\left(|N|\geq|\tilde{N}|\right)}\right] \\ &= C\int_0^\infty du\;u\;e^{-xu^2}e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}}\int_0^u dy\;e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}}. \end{split}$$

Fubini's theorem now implies that (23) holds.

Remark 2.1 In a first draft, we continued looking at the cases: $m = 3, 4, 5, 6, \ldots$, in a direct manner. But, these studies are now superseded by the general discussion in Section 3.

2.3 A "small" generalization

As we just wrote in Remark 2.1, before finding the proof of Proposition 1.2 (see below, Subsection 3.1), we kept developing examples for larger values of m, and in particular, we encountered quantities of the form:

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{P}_{u,v}(x)}, \text{ with } \mathcal{P}_{u,v}(x) = 1 + ux + vx^2.$$
(24)

These quantities turn out to be the Laplace transforms of variables of the form $a\mathbf{e} + b\mathbf{e}'$, with a, b > 0 constants and \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}' two independent exponential variables. In this Subsection, we characterize the polynomials $\mathcal{P}_{u,v}(x)$ such that this is so.

Lemma 2.2 a) A necessary and sufficient condition for $1/\mathcal{P}_{u,v}$ to be the Laplace transform of the law of $a\mathbf{e} + b\mathbf{e}'$, is:

$$u, v > 0$$
 and $\Delta \equiv u^2 - 4v \ge 0$. (25)

b) Then, we obtain:

$$a = \frac{u - \sqrt{\Delta}}{2} \quad ; \quad b = \frac{u + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2}. \tag{26}$$

Proof of Lemma 2.2

i) $1/\mathcal{P}_{u,v}$ is the Laplace transform of $a\mathbf{e} + b\mathbf{e}'$, then:

$$\mathcal{P}_{u,v}(x) = (1 + ax)(1 + bx).$$

Both u = a + b and v = ab are positive.

Moreover, $\mathcal{P}_{u,v}$ admits two real roots, thus $\Delta \equiv u^2 - 4v \geq 0$; i.e.: (25) is satisfied.

ii) Conversely, if the two conditions (25) are satisfied, then the 2 roots of the polynomial are -1/a and -1/b. Hence, $\mathcal{P}_{u,v}(x) = C(1+ax)(1+bx)$, where C is a constant. However, from the definition of $\mathcal{P}_{u,v}$ (24), we have: $\mathcal{P}_{u,v}(0) = 1$, hence C = 1. Thus, $1/\mathcal{P}_{u,v}$ is the Laplace transform of $a\mathbf{e} + b\mathbf{e}'$.

iii) To show b), we note that:

$$\left\{-\frac{1}{a}, -\frac{1}{b}\right\} = \left\{\frac{-u - \sqrt{\Delta}}{2v}, \frac{-u + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2v}\right\}.$$

as well as: $\left(u - \sqrt{\Delta}\right) \left(u + \sqrt{\Delta}\right) = 4v$, which finishes the proof of the second part of the Lemma.

3 A discussion of Proposition 1.2 in terms of the Chebyshev polynomials

3.1 Proof of Proposition 1.2

a) Assuming, to begin with, the validity of our Proposition 1.2, for any integer m, the function φ_m should admit the following representation:

$$\varphi_m(x) = \frac{1}{D_m(x)},\tag{27}$$

where

• for
$$m = 2n + 1$$
, $D_m(x) = \sqrt{1 + x} P_n(x)$, with $P_n(x) = \prod_{k=1}^n (1 + a_k x)$,

• for
$$m = 2n$$
, $D_m(x) = Q_n(x)$, with $Q_n(x) = \prod_{k=1}^n (1 + b_k x)$.

In particular, P_n and Q_n are polynomials of degree n, each of which has its n zeros, that is $(-1/a_k; k = 1, 2, ..., n)$, resp. $(-1/b_k; k = 1, 2, ..., n)$, on the negative axis \mathbb{R}_- . It is not difficult, from the explicit expression of $D_m(x) = \frac{1}{2} ((G_+(x))^m + (G_-(x))^m)$, to find the polynomials P_n and Q_n . They are given by the formulas:

$$\begin{cases}
P_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n C_{2n+1}^{2k+1} (1+x)^k x^{n-k}, \\
Q_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n C_{2n}^{2k} (1+x)^k x^{n-k}.
\end{cases}$$
(28)

In order to prove Proposition 1.2, we shall make use of Chebyshev's polynomials of the first kind (see e.g. [Riv90] ex.1.1.1 p.5 or [KVA02] ex.25, p.195):

$$T_{m}(y) \equiv \frac{\left(y + \sqrt{y^{2} - 1}\right)^{m} + \left(y - \sqrt{y^{2} - 1}\right)^{m}}{2}$$

$$\equiv \begin{cases} \cos\left(m \arg\cos(y)\right), & y \in [-1, 1] \\ \cosh\left(m \arg\cosh(y)\right), & y \ge 1 \\ (-1)^{m} \cosh\left(m \arg\cosh(-y)\right), & y \le 1. \end{cases}$$
(29)

b) We now start the proof of Proposition 1.2 in earnest. First, we remark that:

$$\varphi_m(x) = \frac{1}{T_m\left(\sqrt{1+x}\right)},\tag{30}$$

hence:

$$D_m(x) = T_m\left(\sqrt{1+x}\right),\tag{31}$$

with $x \ge -1$, thus we are interested only in the positive zeros of T_m , and we study separately the cases m odd and m even.

m = 2n + 1

$$D_{2n+1}(y) \equiv \sqrt{1+y} P_n(y) = T_{2n+1} \left(\sqrt{1+y} \right)$$

and the zeros of T_{2n+1} are: $x_k = \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\frac{2k-1}{2n+1}\right)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, (2n+1)$. However, x_k is positive if and only if $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, thus:

$$y_k = x_k^2 - 1 = \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\frac{2k-1}{2n+1}\right) - 1 = -\sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\frac{2k-1}{2n+1}\right); k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Finally:

$$a_k = \frac{1}{\sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\frac{2k-1}{2n+1}\right)}; \ k = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$
 (32)

and

$$P_n(x) = \prod_{k=1}^n \left(1 + \frac{x}{\sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\frac{2k-1}{2n+1}\right)} \right). \tag{33}$$

m=2n Similarly, we obtain:

$$b_k = \frac{1}{\sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\frac{2k-1}{2n}\right)}; \ k = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$
(34)

and

$$Q_n(x) = \prod_{k=1}^n \left(1 + \frac{x}{\sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\frac{2k-1}{2n}\right)} \right).$$
 (35)

3.2 Search for the Lévy measure of φ_m and proof of Proposition 1.3

We have proved that φ_m is infinitely divisible. In this Subsection, we shall calculate its Lévy measure. For this purpose, we shall make use of the following (recall that \mathbf{e}_k , $k \leq n$ are n independent exponential variables, with expectation 1):

Lemma 3.1 With $(c_k, k = 1, 2, ..., n)$ denoting a sequence of positive constants, $\prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(1+c_k x)}$ is the Laplace transform of $\sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k \mathbf{e}_k$, which is an infinitely divisible random variable with Lévy measure:

$$\frac{dz}{z}\sum_{k=1}^n e^{-z/c_k}.$$

Proof of Lemma 3.1

Using the elementary Frullani formula (see e.g. [Leb72], p.6), we have:

$$\prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(1+c_k x)} = \exp\left\{-\sum_{k=1}^{n} \log(1+c_k x)\right\} = \exp\left\{-\sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dy}{y} e^{-y} \left(1-e^{-c_k xy}\right)\right\}$$

$$\stackrel{z=c_k y}{=} \exp\left\{-\sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dz}{z} e^{-z/c_k} \left(1-e^{-xz}\right)\right\},$$

which finishes the proof.

We return now to the proof of Proposition 1.3 and we study separately the cases m odd and m even and we apply Lemma 3.1 with $c_k = a_k$ and $c_k = b_k$ respectively.

m = 2n + 1 Lemma 3.1 yields that, $\prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(1+a_kx)}$ is the Laplace transform of an infinitely divisible random variable with Lévy measure:

$$\nu_{+}(dz) = \frac{dz}{z} \sum_{k=1}^{n} e^{-z/a_{k}}.$$
(36)

Moreover:

$$\frac{1}{\left(\prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 + a_k x)\right)^{1/n}} = \exp\left\{-\int_0^\infty \frac{dz}{z} \left(1 - e^{-xz}\right) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \exp\left\{-\frac{z}{a_k}\right\}\right\},\tag{37}$$

and $\frac{1}{\left(\prod_{k=1}^{n}(1+a_kx)\right)^{1/n}}$, for $n \to \infty$, converges to the Laplace transform of a variable which is a generalized Gamma convolution (GGC) with Thorin measure density:

$$\mu_{+}(z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \exp\left\{-\frac{z}{a_{k}}\right\} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \exp\left\{-z \sin^{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\frac{2k-1}{2n+1}\right)\right\}$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} du \exp\left\{-z \sin^{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}u\right)\right\} \stackrel{v=\frac{\pi}{2}u}{=} \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi/2} dv \exp\left\{-z \sin^{2}(v)\right\}$$

$$\stackrel{h=\sin^{2}v}{=} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{dh}{\sqrt{h(1-h)}} e^{-hz},$$
(38)

which, following the notation in [JRY08], is the Laplace transform of the variable $\mathbb{G}_{1/2}$ which is arc sine distributed on [0,1].

 $\boxed{m=2n}$ Lemma 3.1 yields that, $\prod_{k=1}^{n}\frac{1}{(1+b_kx)}$ is the Laplace transform of an infinitely divisible random variable with Lévy measure:

$$\nu_{-}(dz) = \frac{dz}{z} \sum_{k=1}^{n} e^{-z/b_k} = \frac{dz}{z} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \exp\left\{-z \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi 2k - 1}{2n}\right)\right\}.$$
(39)

Moreover $\frac{1}{\left(\prod_{k=1}^{n}(1+b_kx)\right)^{1/n}}$, for $n\to\infty$, converges to the Laplace transform of a GGC with Thorin measure density:

$$\mu_{-}(z) = \mu_{+}(z). \tag{40}$$

We now express the above results in terms of the Laplace transforms φ_m and $\tilde{\varphi}_m$. Using the following result from [JRY08], p.390, formula (193):

$$E\left[\exp\left(-x\Gamma_{t}\left(\mathbb{G}_{1/2}\right)\right)\right] = \exp\left\{-t\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{dz}{z}\left(1-e^{-xz}\right)E\left[\exp\left(-z\mathbb{G}_{1/2}\right)\right]\right\}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\left(\sqrt{1+x}+\sqrt{x}\right)^{2t}}$$
(41)

with $2t = m = \frac{\pi}{2c\varepsilon}$, with c a positive constant, together with (38) and (40), we obtain (18).

Remark: The natural question that arises now is whether the results of Proposition 1.2 could be generalized for every m > 0 (not necessarily an integer), in other words wether $\varphi_m(x) = \frac{2}{(G_+(x))^m + (G_-(x))^m}$ is the Laplace transform of a generalized Gamma convolution (GGC, see [Bon92] or [JRY08]), that is:

$$\varphi_m(x) = E\left[e^{-x\Gamma_m}\right],\tag{42}$$

with

$$\Gamma_m \stackrel{(law)}{=} \int_0^\infty f_m(s) d\gamma_s, \tag{43}$$

where $f_m: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ and γ_s is a gamma process.

This conjecture will be investigated in a sequel of this article in [Vak12].

References

- [ADY97] L. Alili, D. Dufresne and M. Yor (1997). Sur l'identité de Bougerol pour les fonctionnelles exponentielles du mouvement Brownien avec drift. In Exponential Functionals and Principal Values related to Brownian Motion. A collection of research papers; Biblioteca de la Revista Matematica, Ibero-Americana, ed. M. Yor, 3-14.
- Ber96 J. Bertoin (1996). Lévy Processes. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [BPY01] P. Biane, J. Pitman and M. Yor (2001). Probability laws related to the Jacobi theta and Riemann zeta functions, and Brownian excursions. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **38**, 435-465.
- [Bon92] L. Bondesson (1992). Generalized gamma convolutions and related classes of distributions and densities. *Lecture Notes in Statistics*, **76**. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [Bou83] Ph. Bougerol (1983). Exemples de théorèmes locaux sur les groupes résolubles. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, 19, 369-391.

- [ChY03] L. Chaumont and M. Yor (2003). Exercises in Probability: A Guided Tour from Measure Theory to Random Processes, via Conditioning, Cambridge University Press.
- [ItMK65] K. Itô and H.P. McKean (1965). Diffusion Processes and their Sample Paths. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York.
- [JRY08] L.F. James, B. Roynette and M. Yor (2008). Generalized Gamma Convolutions, Dirichlet means, Thorin measures, with explicit examples. *Probab. Surveys*, Volume **5**, 346-415.
- [KVA02] E. Koelink, W. Van Assche (eds.) (2002). Orthogonal polynomials and special functions. *Lect. Notes in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag.
- [Leb72] N.N. Lebedev (1972). Special Functions and their Applications. Revised edition, translated from the Russian and edited by Richard A. Silverman.
- [ReY99] D. Revuz and M. Yor (1999). Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion. 3rd ed., Springer, Berlin.
- [Riv90] T. J. Rivlin (1990). Chebyshev Polynomials: From Approximation Theory to Algebra and Number Theory. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- [Vak11] S. Vakeroudis (2011). On hitting times of the winding processes of planar Brownian motion and of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes, via Bougerol's identity. *Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen.-SIAM Theory Probab. Appl.*, **56** (3), 566-591 (in TVP).
- [Vak12] S. Vakeroudis et al. (2012). On Functionals of Brownian motion: Four Essais. Springer Briefs. Editor: M. Yor. In Preparation.
- [VaY11] S. Vakeroudis and M. Yor (2011). Integrability properties and Limit Theorems for the first exit times from a cone of planar Brownian motion. Submitted.
- [Yor80] M. Yor (1980). Loi de l'indice du lacet Brownien et Distribution de Hartman-Watson. Z. Wahrsch. verw. Gebiete, 53, 71-95.
- [Yor01] M. Yor (2001). Exponential Functionals of Brownian Motion and Related Processes. Springer Finance. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.